

Product of Complete Ideals



Jugal Verma

*Joint work with Parangama Sarkar
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay
Colloquiumfest in honour of Dale Cutcosky*

Outline

- 1 Integral closure of ideals and Zariski's Product Theorem
- 2 Results of Lipman and Cutcosky about complete ideals in a rational singularity
- 3 Reid-Roberts-Vitulli Theorem about complete monomial ideals
- 4 Rees' theorem about joint reductions and normal Hilbert polynomials
- 5 Rees's Theorem \Rightarrow Lipman's generalisation of ZPT to 2-dimensional rational singularities and Huneke's result on vanishing of $\bar{e}_2(I)$
- 6 Joint reduction vectors of multi-graded filtrations of ideals
- 7 Effect of filter-regular sequences on vanishing of graded components of the local cohomology modules of multi-graded modules over standard multi-graded rings
- 8 Local cohomological interpretation of joint reduction vectors of multi-graded filtrations of ideals
- 9 A generalisation of the Reid-Roberts-Vitulli Theorem for completeness of power products of \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals in analytically unramified local rings
- 10 Futoshi Hayasaka's theorem about the product of complete monomial ideals

Integral Closure of Ideals

- 1 Let R be a commutative ring, I an ideal of R .
- 2 An element $a \in R$ is called **integral over I** , if there exist $a_i \in I^i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ so that

$$x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0.$$

- 3 The **integral closure** of $I = \bar{I} = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is integral over } I\}$.
- 4 An ideal I is called **complete** or **integrally closed** if $\bar{I} = I$.
- 5 **O. Zariski**, *Polynomial ideals defined by infinitely near base points*, American Journal of Mathematics (1938), 151-204.
- 6 **Theorem:** Let $R = k[X, Y]$ be the polynomial ring k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then product of complete ideals in R is complete. Moreover any complete ideal is uniquely written as a product of simple complete ideals upto their reordering.
- 7 This was generalised to two-dimensional regular local rings in Appendix 5 of the Volume II of *Commutative Algebra* by Oscar Zariski and Pierre Samuel.

Lipman's Results about complete ideals (1969,1978)

- 1 **Definition :** A two-dimensional normal local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) is said to have a **rational singularity** if there exists a desingularisation X of $\text{Spec } R$ for which $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.
- 2 **Examples of rational singularities:** (1) Any 2-dimensional complete local UFD with algebraically closed residue field.
(2) A 2-dimensional normal local domain birationally dominating a 2-dimensional regular local ring.
- 3 **Theorem: (Lipman, 1969)** Let R be a 2-dimensional local ring with a rational singularity. Then
(1) Product of complete ideals is complete.
(2) If the completion of R is a UFD then every complete ideal factors as a product of simple complete ideals uniquely.
- 4 **Definition: (Lipman, 1978)** A two-dimensional Noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) is called **pseudo-rational** if it is normal, analytically unramified and for every birational proper map $W \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ where W is normal, we have $H^1(W, \mathcal{O}_W) = 0$.

Normal Hilbert Polynomials : Rees' Approach

- ① **Definition:** For any \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I in an analytically unramified local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) of dimension d , the **normal Hilbert function** $\bar{H}(I, n) = \lambda(R/\bar{I}^n)$ for large n , is given by the **normal Hilbert polynomial**

$$\bar{P}(I, x) = \bar{e}_0(I) \binom{x+d-1}{d} - \bar{e}_1(I) \binom{x+d-2}{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d \bar{e}_d(I),$$

for some integers $\bar{e}_0(I), \bar{e}_1(I), \dots, \bar{e}_d(I)$.

- ② These integers are called the **normal Hilbert coefficients** of I .
- ③ **Definition: (Rees)** A 2-dimensional local normal analytically unramified ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) is pseudo-rational iff $\bar{e}_2(I) = 0$ for all \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals I .
- ④ **Theorem (Lipman, Rees)** Product of \mathfrak{m} -primary complete ideals is complete in two-dimensional pseudo-rational local rings.
- ⑤ **Definition: (Rees)** Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_d be \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals of a d -dimensional local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) . Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})$ be a filtration of ideals such that for all $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} \cdots I_d^{n_d} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})$.
- ⑥ **Definition:** A set of elements $x_i \in I_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ is called a joint reduction of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})$ if for all large $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) = x_1 \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_1) + x_2 \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_2) + \cdots + x_d \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_d).$$

Rees' Theorem, 1981

- ① **Lemma:** Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension 2 with infinite residue field and let I, J be \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals. Then there exists a joint reduction (a, b) of $\{\overline{I^r J^s}\}$ satisfying the conditions:

$$(a) \cap \overline{I^r J^s} = a \overline{I^{r-1} J^s} \text{ for all } r > 0 \text{ and } (b) \cap \overline{I^r J^s} = b \overline{I^r J^{s-1}} \text{ for all } s > 0.$$

- ② **Definition:** We say (a, b) is a **good joint reduction** of $\{\overline{I^r J^s}\}$ if (a, b) satisfies the above equations. Such joint reductions exist if $|R/\mathfrak{m}| = \infty$.
- ③ **Theorem: (Rees, 1981)** Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be an analytically unramified Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension 2. Let I, J be \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals and let (a, b) be a good joint reduction of $\{\overline{I^r J^s} \mid r, s \geq 0\}$.
- ④ Then $\bar{e}_2(IJ) \leq \bar{e}_2(I) + \bar{e}_2(J)$ and

$$\bar{e}_2(IJ) = \bar{e}_2(I) + \bar{e}_2(J) \iff \overline{I^r J^s} = a \overline{I^{r-1} J^s} + b \overline{I^r J^{s-1}} \text{ for all } r, s > 0, \quad (1)$$

- ⑤ **Definition:** If the equation (1) is satisfied for all $(r, s) \geq (p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, then we say that (p, q) is a **normal joint reduction vector** of the filtration $\{\overline{I^r J^s}\}$.

Consequences of Rees' Theorem

- 1 **Theorem: (Rees, 1981)** Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a two-dimensional pseudo-rational local ring and let I, J be complete ideals in R . Then IJ is complete.
- 2 **Proof:** Since $\bar{e}_2(I) = 0$ for all \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals in a pseudo-rational local ring, Rees' theorem gives that $\overline{IJ} = a\bar{J} + b\bar{I}$ for all \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals I and J . Therefore $\overline{IJ} \subseteq \bar{I}\bar{J}$. Since $\bar{I}\bar{J} \subseteq \overline{IJ}$ in any ring, $\overline{IJ} = \bar{I}\bar{J}$. Hence IJ is complete if I and J are so.
- 3 **Theorem: (Huneke, 1987)** Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a two-dimensional analytically unramified Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Then

$$\bar{e}_2(I) = 0 \iff \bar{I}^n = (x, y)\overline{I^{n-1}} \text{ for } n \geq 2 \text{ and for any reduction } (x, y) \text{ of } I.$$

In particular, if I is complete and $\bar{e}_2(I) = 0$ then I^n is complete for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof: Let $\bar{e}_2(I) = 0$. Put $I = J$ and $r = 1, s = n - 1$ in Rees' Theorem to get both the conclusions. We can calculate the normal Hilbert polynomial to see that $\bar{e}_2(I) = 0$

Theorems of Cutcosky (1990)

- ① **Theorem:** Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a 2-dimensional excellent normal local domain with algebraically closed residue field $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$. Then the following are equivalent:
- (1) R has a rational singularity.
 - (2) Product of complete ideals in R is complete.
 - (3) Product of complete \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals is complete.
 - (4) If I is a complete \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal then I^2 is complete.
- ② **Theorem:** Let k be a field of characteristic not equal to 3. Set $R(k) = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^3 + 3y^3 + 9z^3)$. Then
- (1) $R(k)$ is a normal local domain and it is **not** a rational singularity.
 - (2) Product of complete ideals is complete in $R(\mathbb{Q})$.
 - (3) There exists a complete \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal whose square is not complete if k has positive characteristic or if k is algebraically closed.

The RRV Theorem about complete monomial Ideals

- ① **Definition:** Let $X \subset R = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, a polynomial ring over a field k .

$$\exp(X) = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \in X\}.$$

- ② The **Newton Polyhedron** of a monomial ideal I is defined to be

$$NP(I) = \text{convex hull } \exp(I)$$

- ③ **Theorem:** (B. Teissier, 1975) Let I be a monomial ideal of R . Then the integral closure of I is also a monomial ideal and

$$\exp(\bar{I}) = NP(I) \cap \mathbb{N}^n.$$

- ④ **Theorem:** (L. Reid-L. G. Roberts-M. Vitulli, 2002) Suppose that I is a **monomial ideal** in the polynomial ring $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d]$. Then

$$I, I^2, \dots, I^{d-1} \text{ are complete} \implies I^n \text{ is complete for all } n.$$

p_g -ideals (Okuma-Watanabe-Yoshida, 2014)

- 1 **Definition:** Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a 2-dimensional excellent normal local domain where k is algebraically closed. A complete \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I is called a p_g -ideal if $\bar{e}_2(I) = 0$.
- 2 **Theorem: (Okuma-Watanabe-Yoshida, 2014)** Let I and J be p_g -ideals of R . Then IJ is also a p_g ideal. Moreover the Rees algebra of such ideals is a Cohen-Macaulay normal domain with minimal multiplicity at its maximal homogeneous ideal.
- 3 **Remark:** This result also follows from Rees' Theorem since for such ideals there are $a \in I$ and $b \in J$ so that $IJ = aJ + bI = \overline{IJ}$ is complete.
- 4 Moreover $r(I) = 1$ which implies that $\mathcal{R}(I)$ is CM normal domain.
- 5 It can then be proved that $r(\mathfrak{m}, It) = 1$ which shows that $\mathcal{R}(I)$ has minimal multiplicity.

Multi-graded filtrations of ideals

- 1 (R, \mathfrak{m}) denotes a local ring of dimension d with infinite residue field and $\mathbf{l} = l_1, \dots, l_s$ denotes a sequence of \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals of R .
- 2 Put $\mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1)$, $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ and for all $i = 1, \dots, s$, $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ denotes the i^{th} vector in the standard basis of \mathbb{Q}^s .
- 3 For $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, we write $\mathbf{l}^{\mathbf{n}} = l_1^{n_1} \dots l_s^{n_s}$.
- 4 For $s \geq 2$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$, put $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_s$.
- 5 Define $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_s) \geq \mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_s)$ if $m_i \geq n_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$.
- 6 The phrase “for all large \mathbf{n} ,” means $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ and $n_i \gg 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$.
- 7 A set of ideals $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ is called a **\mathbb{Z}^s -graded \mathbf{l} – filtration** if for all $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$,

(i) $\mathbf{l}^{\mathbf{n}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})$, (ii) $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{m}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m})$ (iii) if $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{n}$, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{m}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})$.

Multi-graded admissible filtrations of ideals

- Let t_1, \dots, t_s be indeterminates. For $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, we put $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{n}} = t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s}$ and denote the \mathbb{N}^s -graded **Rees ring of \mathcal{F}** by $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{n}}$
- For an \mathbb{N}^s -graded ring $S = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \geq 0} S_{\mathbf{n}}$, put $S_{++} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \geq e} S_{\mathbf{n}}$
- For $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$, Put $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})$, $\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{R}'(\mathbf{I})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})_{++} = \mathcal{R}_{++}$.
- The **associated multi-graded ring of \mathcal{F} with respect to $\mathcal{F}(e)$** is the ring

$$G(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})}{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} + e)}$$

- Definition:** (Rees) A \mathbb{Z}^s -graded $\mathbf{I} = (I_1, \dots, I_s)$ -filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ of ideals in R is called an $\mathbf{I} = (I_1, \dots, I_s)$ -**admissible filtration** if $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})$ is a finite $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})$ -module.
- Two main examples of admissible filtrations are
 - the \mathbf{I} -adic filtration $\{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ in any ring and
 - the filtration $\{\overline{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ in an analytically unramified local ring.

Joint reductions of multi-graded filtrations of ideals

- ① Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ be a \mathbb{Z}^s -graded \mathbf{I} -admissible filtration of ideals in R . Let $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $|\mathbf{q}| = \dim R = d \geq 1$. The set

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathcal{F}) = \{a_{ij} \in I_i : j = 1, \dots, q_i; i = 1, \dots, s\}$$

- ② is called a **joint reduction of \mathcal{F}** of type \mathbf{q} if there exists an $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} a_{ij} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} - e_i) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}).$$

- ③ The vector \mathbf{m} is called a **joint reduction vector** of \mathcal{F} with respect to the joint reduction $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathcal{F})$.
- ④ **Question:** How to detect joint reduction vectors using graded components of the local cohomology modules of the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})$?

Filter-regular sequences and joint reductions

- ① **Definition:** (N. V. Trung) Suppose $R = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} R_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a standard \mathbb{N}^s -graded ring defined over a local ring (R_0, \mathfrak{m}) , and $M = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} M_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^s -graded R -module. A homogeneous element $a \in R$ is called **M -filter-regular** if for all large \mathbf{n}

$$(0 :_M a)_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$$

- ② Let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in R$ be homogeneous elements. Then a_1, \dots, a_r is called an **M -filter-regular sequence** if a_i is $M/(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})$ -filter-regular for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.
- ③ **Theorem:** Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ and I_1, \dots, I_s be \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals in R . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an \mathbf{l} -admissible filtration of ideals in R and $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $|\mathbf{q}| = d$. Then there exists a joint reduction of \mathcal{F} of type \mathbf{q} such that images of its elements in $G(\mathbf{l})$ form a $G(\mathcal{F})$ -filter-regular sequence.

Eero Hyry's condition $H_{\mathfrak{m}}$

- ① **Theorem:** (E. Hyry) Let S be a \mathbb{Z} -graded ring defined over a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) . Let \mathcal{M} be the homogeneous maximal ideal of S . Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be an ideal of S . Let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -graded S -module and $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(M)]_n = 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and $i \geq 0$
 $\iff [H_{(\mathfrak{a}, S_+)}^i(M)]_n = 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and $i \geq 0$.
- ② **Definition:** Let R be a standard \mathbb{N}^s -graded ring and $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. We say that a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^s -graded R -module M satisfies **Hyry's condition** $H_{\mathfrak{m}}$ if

$$[H_{R_{++}}^i(M)]_{\mathfrak{n}} = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{n} \geq \mathfrak{m}.$$

- ③ **Theorem:** Let $R = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} R_{\mathfrak{n}}$ be a standard \mathbb{N}^s -graded ring defined over a local ring (R_0, \mathfrak{m}) , $R_{e_i} \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $M = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} M_{\mathfrak{n}}$ be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^s -graded R -module. Let $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. Suppose $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(M)]_{\mathfrak{n}} = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ such that $n_k > a_k$ for at least one $k \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Then M satisfies Hyry's condition $H_{\mathfrak{a}+e}$.

Filter-regular elements and Hyry's condition

- 1 Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ and I_1, \dots, I_s be \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals in R . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an \mathbf{l} -admissible filtration of ideals in R .
- 2 **Lemma:** If $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies $H_{\mathfrak{m}}$ then $G(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies $H_{\mathfrak{m}}$.
- 3 **Lemma:** Let $R = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} R_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a standard \mathbb{N}^s -graded ring defined over a local ring (R_0, \mathfrak{m}) and $M = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} M_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^s -graded R -module. Suppose M satisfies Hyry's condition $H_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Let $a \in R_{e_j}$ be M -filter-regular. Then M/aM satisfies $H_{\mathfrak{m}+e_j}$.
- 4 **Theorem:** Suppose $G(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies $H_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Let $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $|\mathbf{q}| = d$ and $\mathcal{J} = \{a_{ij} \in I_i : j = 1, \dots, q_i; i = 1, \dots, s\}$ be a joint reduction of \mathcal{F} of type \mathbf{q} such that $a_{11}^*, \dots, a_{1q_1}^*, \dots, a_{s1}^*, \dots, a_{sq_s}^*$ is a $G(\mathcal{F})$ -filter-regular sequence where a_{ij}^* is the image of a_{ij} in $G(\mathbf{l})_{e_i}$ for all i and j . Then $\mathfrak{m} + \mathbf{q}$ is a joint reduction vector of \mathcal{F} with respect to \mathcal{J} . In other words

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} a_{ij} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} - e_i) \text{ for all } \mathbf{n} \geq \mathfrak{m} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Product of complete ideals in any dimension (Sarkar-Verma)

- ① **Theorem:** (E. Hyry) Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring of dimension d and I_1, \dots, I_s be \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals in R . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an \mathbf{I} -admissible filtration of ideals in R . If $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})$ is CM then it satisfies Hyry's condition H_0 .
- ② **Theorem:** Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be an analytically unramified local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ and let I_1, \dots, I_s be \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals in R . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}}\}$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfy Hyry's condition H_0 . Suppose $\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is complete for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ with $1 \leq |\mathbf{n}| \leq d - 1$. Then $\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is complete for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ where $|\mathbf{n}| \geq d$.
- ③ **Proof:** We use induction on $|\mathbf{n}|$. By given hypothesis the result is true for $|\mathbf{n}| \leq d - 1$. Suppose $|\mathbf{n}| \geq d$. Let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}$ and $|\mathbf{m}| = d$.
- ④ Consider the filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$. Then there exists a joint reduction $\{a_{ij} \in I_j : j = 1, \dots, m_i; i = 1, \dots, s\}$ of \mathcal{F} of type \mathbf{m} such that

$$\overline{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{r}}} = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} a_{ij} \overline{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{e}_i}} \text{ for all } \mathbf{r} \geq \mathbf{m}. \quad \text{Hence} \quad \overline{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}} = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} a_{ij} \overline{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_i}}.$$

- ⑤ As $\overline{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_i}}$ are complete for all i by induction hypothesis, $\overline{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}}$ is also complete.

The RRV Theorem for products of monomial ideals

- Theorem:** Let $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ and let \mathfrak{m} be its maximal homogeneous ideal. Let I_1, \dots, I_s be \mathfrak{m} -primary monomial R -ideals. Suppose $\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is complete for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $1 \leq |\mathbf{n}| \leq d - 1$. Then $\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is complete for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$.
- Proof:** If $d = 1$ then R is a PID and hence normal. Therefore every ideal is complete since principal ideals in normal domains are complete.
- Let $d \geq 2$. Since I_1, \dots, I_s are monomial ideals, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Let $W = R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$. Then $S = W^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. We have

$$W^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} W^{-1}\overline{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} (\overline{W^{-1}(\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}})}) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(W^{-1}I_1, \dots, W^{-1}I_s)}.$$

- Therefore S satisfies Hyry's condition H_0 .
- $W^{-1}(\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}})$ is complete for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $|\mathbf{n}| \geq 1$.
- Since \mathfrak{m} is the maximal homogeneous ideal of R and $W^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}}/\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}) = 0$, $\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is complete for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$.

Normality of power products of monomial ideals

- **Theorem: (Pooja Singla, 2007)** Let I be a monomial ideal of analytic spread ℓ in the polynomial ring $K[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d]$ over a field K . Suppose that I^n is complete for all $n \leq \ell - 1$ then I^n is complete for all n .

Recent results of Futoshi Hayasaka (2017)

- **Theorem:** Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be an analytically unramified local ring of dimension d . Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_s be ideals of positive height in R .
- Let the integral closure of the multi-Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_s)$ in the polynomial ring $R[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s]$ be Cohen-Macaulay. Let $\ell = \ell(I_1 I_2 \dots I_s)$.
- Suppose that $\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is complete for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ where $|\mathbf{n}| \leq \ell - 1$.
- Then $\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is complete for all \mathbf{n} .
- **Corollary:** Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_s be monomial ideals in $K[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d]$ and $\ell = \ell(I_1 I_2 \dots I_s)$. Suppose that $I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} \dots I_s^{n_s}$ is complete for all $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ where $|\mathbf{n}| \leq \ell - 1$ then $\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is complete for all \mathbf{n} .